

学校编码: 10384

密级_____

学号: 22620111151447

廈門大學

硕士学位论文

九龙江口秋茄红树林土壤-大气温室气体
通量随植被恢复变化的研究

Studies on Changes in Atmospheric Greenhouse Gas Fluxes
from Soils of *Kandelia obovata* Mangrove Forests with the
Development of Restored Vegetation in Jiulong River
Estuary

余丹

指导教师姓名: 叶勇 教授

专业名称: 环境工程

论文提交日期: 2014 年 5 月

论文答辩时间: 2014 年 5 月

厦门大学学位论文原创性声明

本人呈交的学位论文是本人在导师指导下,独立完成的研究成果。本人在论文写作中参考其他个人或集体已经发表的研究成果,均在文中以适当方式明确标明,并符合法律规范和《厦门大学研究生学术活动规范(试行)》。

另外,该学位论文为(环境科学中心红树林湿地生态功能)课题(组)的研究成果,获得(国家自然科学基金项目“开放状态下红树林有机碳生态过程与植被恢复进程的耦合及其机制”)课题(组)经费或实验室的资助,在(红树林湿地生态功能)实验室完成。(请在以上括号内填写课题或课题组负责人或实验室名称,未有此项声明内容的,可以不作特别声明。)

声明人(签名):

年 月 日

厦门大学学位论文著作权使用声明

本人同意厦门大学根据《中华人民共和国学位条例暂行实施办法》等规定保留和使用此学位论文，并向主管部门或其指定机构送交学位论文（包括纸质版和电子版），允许学位论文进入厦门大学图书馆及其数据库被查阅、借阅。本人同意厦门大学将学位论文加入全国博士、硕士学位论文共建单位数据库进行检索，将学位论文的标题和摘要汇编出版，采用影印、缩印或者其它方式合理复制学位论文。

本学位论文属于：

（ ） 1. 经厦门大学保密委员会审查核定的保密学位论文，
于 年 月 日解密，解密后适用上述授权。

（ ☒ ） 2. 不保密，适用上述授权。

（请在以上相应括号内打“√”或填上相应内容。保密学位论文应是已经厦门大学保密委员会审定过的学位论文，未经厦门大学保密委员会审定的学位论文均为公开学位论文。此声明栏不填写的，默认为公开学位论文，均适用上述授权。）

声明人（签名）：

年 月 日

目 录

摘要.....	VII
Abstract.....	IX
表索引.....	XI
Table index.....	XII
图索引.....	XIII
Figure index	XIV
第 1 章 前言	1
1.1 研究背景	1
1.2 相关研究进展	2
1.2.1 湿地土壤 N ₂ O 通量研究进展	2
1.2.2 湿地土壤 CH ₄ 通量研究进展	5
1.2.3 湿地土壤 CO ₂ 通量研究进展	9
1.2.4 人工恢复对红树林湿地生态系统影响研究进展.....	11
1.3 研究意义	13
1.4 研究目标和研究内容	14
第 2 章 九龙江口秋茄红树林土壤-大气温室气体通量的滩位变化和 日变化.....	15
2.1 样地概况	15
2.2 样品的采集与分析	16
2.2.1 气体样品.....	16
2.2.2 土壤样品.....	17
2.2.3 数据处理与统计分析.....	17
2.3 结果	18
2.3.1 大潮日红树林土壤温室气体通量日变化.....	18
2.3.2 小潮日红树林土壤温室气体通量日变化.....	20

2.3.3 土壤参数日变化及其对红树林土壤温室气体通量的影响.....	22
2.4 讨论	29
第 3 章 九龙江口秋茄红树林土壤-大气温室气体通量随植被恢复的 变化.....	32
3.1 样地概况	32
3.2 样品的采集与分析	33
3.2.1 气体样品.....	33
3.2.2 数据处理与统计分析.....	34
3.3 结果	34
3.3.1 N ₂ O 通量随植被恢复时间变化	34
3.3.2 CH ₄ 通量随植被恢复时间变化	37
3.3.3 CO ₂ 通量随植被恢复时间变化	38
3.3.4 CO ₂ 当量通量随植被恢复时间变化	40
3.4 讨论	42
第 4 章 九龙江口秋茄红树林土壤理化性质对土壤-大气温室气体通 量的影响.....	48
4.1 样地概况	48
4.2 样品的采集与分析	48
4.2.1 土壤样品.....	48
4.2.2 数据处理与统计分析.....	49
4.3 结果	49
4.3.1 土壤 Eh、含水率和 pH	49
4.3.2 土壤无机氮含量.....	51
4.3.3 土壤有机碳、总氮、总磷含量以及碳氮比.....	53
4.3.4 土壤理化性质与土壤-大气温室气体通量的相关性	57
4.4 讨论	57
第 5 章 总结	62

5.1 主要结论	62
5.2 创新点	63
5.3 不足与展望	64
参考文献.....	65
附录.....	80
致谢.....	81

Contents

Abstract in Chinese.....	VII
Abstract in English.....	IX
Table Index in Chinese.....	XI
Table Index in English.....	XII
Figure Index in Chinese.....	XIII
Figure Index in English.....	XIV
Chapter 1 Preface.....	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Study Progress on Greenhouse Gas Fluxes from Wetland Soils	2
1.2.1 CO ₂ Fluxes from Wetland Soils	2
1.2.2 CH ₄ Fluxes from Wetland Soils	5
1.2.3 N ₂ O Fluxes from Wetland Soils.....	9
1.2.4 Impacts of Vegetation Restoration on Mangrove Ecosystems.....	11
1.3 Importance of the Present Study.....	13
1.4 Purposes and Main Contents of the Present Study	14
Chapter 2 Spatial and Diurnal Variations of Greenhouse Gas Fluxes from <i>Kandelia obovata</i> Mangrove Soils in Jiulong River Estuary	15
2.1 Study Sites	15
2.2 Samplings and Analyses	16
2.2.1 Gas Sampling	16
2.2.2 Soil Sampling.....	17
2.2.3 Data Processing and Statistic Analysis	17
2.3 Results	18
2.3.1 Spatial and Diurnal Variations of Greenhouse Gas Fluxes from Mangrove Soils on a Spring Day	18

2.3.2 Spatial and Diurnal Variations of Greenhouse Gas Fluxes from Mangrove Soils on a Neap Day	20
2.3.3 Spatial and Diurnal Variations of Environmental Factors and their Effects on Greenhouse Gas Fluxes from Mangrove Soils	22
2.4 Discussion	29
Chapter 3 Variations of Greenhouse Gas Fluxes from Soils of <i>Kandelia obovata</i> Mangrove Forests with the Development of Restored Vegetation in Jiulong River Estuary.....	32
3.1 Study Sites	32
3.2 Samplings and Analyses	33
3.2.1 Gas Sampling	33
3.2.2 Data Processing and Statistic Analysis	34
3.3 Results	34
3.3.1 N ₂ O Fluxes.....	34
3.3.2 CH ₄ Fluxes	37
3.3.3 CO ₂ Fluxes	38
3.3.4 CO ₂ -equivalent Fluxes	40
3.4 Discussion	42
Chapter 4 Effects of Soil Properties on Greenhouse Gas Fluxes from Mangrove Soils	48
4.1 Study Sites	48
4.2 Samplings and Analyses	48
4.2.1 Soil Sampling.....	48
4.2.2 Data Processing and Statistic Analysis	49
4.3 Results	49
4.3.1 Eh, Water Content and pH	49
4.3.2 Inorganic Nitrogen Contents.....	51
4.3.3 Organic Carbon, Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorus Contents and	

Ratio of C and N	53
4.3.4 Correlations between Greenhouse Gas Fluxes and Soil Parameters	57
4.4 Discussion	57
Chapter 5 Summary	62
5.1 General Conclusion	62
5.2 Innovation.....	63
5.3 Deficiency and Outlook.....	64
References.....	65
Appendix.....	80
Acknowledgements.....	81

摘 要

选取福建九龙江口南岸浮宫镇霞郭村秋茄红树林研究断面,研究夏季白天的一个潮汐周期内未被潮水浸没时红树林土壤 3 种温室气体 (N_2O 、 CH_4 和 CO_2) 通量变化情况,探究滩位和潮汐日变化对该地区红树林土壤大气界面温室气体通量的影响。在此基础上,以光滩为对照和恢复的起点,再选取 26 年生和 50 年生的人工秋茄红树林以及天然成熟林代表不同恢复阶段,探讨不同植被恢复阶段红树林土壤-大气界面这 3 种温室气体通量随植被恢复时间的关系,并分析植被恢复导致的土壤环境变化对温室气体通量的影响,为人工红树林的功能恢复提供参考依据。

夏季大潮日和小潮日白天的潮汐周期内 3 种温室气体通量的滩位变化研究结果显示,大潮日红树林土壤这 3 种温室气体通量均没有显著的滩位差异,小潮日 N_2O 、 CH_4 通量也没有显著的滩位差异,但 CO_2 通量却表现出从陆向海降低的趋势。3 种温室气体通量在小潮日的潮汐周期内日变化特征不显著,且 N_2O 和 CH_4 通量在大潮日和小潮日没有显著差异。土壤温度是影响红树林土壤温室气体通量日变化的重要因素。

不同恢复阶段红树林 3 种温室气体通量研究显示, N_2O 年均通量范围为 $0.17\sim0.76 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$, CH_4 年均通量范围为 $4.52\sim73.27 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$, CO_2 年均通量范围为 $-0.22\sim4.35 \text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$, N_2O 、 CH_4 和 CO_2 通量均表现出显著的季节差异,总体上为夏季高、冬季低。3 种温室气体通量均表现出随植被恢复的差异, CO_2 和 CH_4 通量表现出随植被恢复时间的延长而增加的趋势,而 N_2O 通量则表现出植被恢复的初期较低,随着植被恢复时间的延长而增加的趋势。根据不同温室气体的增温潜势,将九龙江口红树林湿地土壤 3 种温室气体通量换算得到总的 CO_2 当量,其年均通量为 $124.32 \text{mg CO}_2 \text{m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$,其中 CO_2 是最主要的贡献气体,且总 CO_2 当量通量也表现出随着植被恢复时间的延长而增加的趋势。

不同恢复阶段红树林土壤理化性质研究结果表明,土壤 Eh、含水率、pH、氨态氮、硝态氮、有机碳、总氮、总磷和碳氮比等指标中,除总氮含量外均表现出显著的季节变化动态。红树林植被恢复对土壤各理化指标有显著的影响,其中氨态氮表现为在植被恢复后含量下降,而随着植被恢复时间的延长,氨态氮含量

增加, pH 表现为随植被恢复时间的延长而下降, 有机碳和总氮含量以及碳氮比表现出随着植被恢复时间的延长而增加。通过对土壤理化性质与 3 种温室气体通量的相关性分析, 发现红树林植被恢复进程对土壤 N_2O 通量的影响是由于土壤氨态氮含量的变化而造成的, 而对 CH_4 和 CO_2 通量的影响则主要由于土壤有机碳和总氮含量的变化而造成的。

总之, 随着秋茄植被的恢复, 土壤理化性质发生变化, 从而导致红树林土壤-大气界面温室气体通量的变化。

关键词: 河口; 红树林; 温室气体通量; 植被发育

Abstract

In order to understand the spatial and diurnal variations of greenhouse gas fluxes from mangrove soils, the fluxes of N_2O , CH_4 and CO_2 were measured in one *Kandelia obovata* mangrove forest in Jiulong River estuary, China, when mangrove soils were exposed to air during one tidal cycle on spring or neap day in summer. Based on that study, effect of age of restored mangrove forests on the atmospheric greenhouse gas fluxes from soils were investigated, choosing one bare flat (K0), one 26-year old restored forest (K26) and one 50-year old restored mangrove forest (K50) as well as one natural mature forest (MF) as representative forests. Seasonal variations of greenhouse gas fluxes and soil parameters from all these mangrove forests with different ages were measured. The correlation relationships between greenhouse gas fluxes and soil parameters were tested in order to understand the variation of greenhouse gas fluxes with the development of restored mangrove forests.

There were no significant differences in the fluxes of N_2O , CH_4 and CO_2 from different study sites (inner site and outer site) in the present mangrove forest during the spring day of summer. It was the same for the fluxes of N_2O and CH_4 during the neap day, while CO_2 showed significantly higher fluxes at the inner site than the outer site. Significantly diurnal variations of fluxes of the three greenhouse gases in one tidal cycle were not found during the neap day. Moreover, the average fluxes of N_2O and CH_4 were similar between spring and neap days, while fluxes of CO_2 were a little higher on neap day than on spring day. The soil temperature and inorganic nitrogen contents were important factors that affect the diurnal variations of the greenhouse gas fluxes.

As for the effect of age of restored mangrove forests on the fluxes of atmospheric greenhouse gases from soils, annual fluxes of N_2O , CH_4 and CO_2 ranged from 0.17 to 0.76 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$, 4.52 to 73.27 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$ and -0.22 to 4.35 $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$, respectively. Fluxes of the three greenhouse gases differed significantly among sampling seasons, as well as among restored forests with different ages. In general,

fluxes of the three greenhouse gases were highest in summer and lowest in winter. Meanwhile, fluxes of CH₄ and CO₂ increased with the development of restored forests, but fluxes of N₂O from soils firstly decreased after the replanting of vegetation and then increased with the later development of vegetation. Total CO₂-equivalent fluxes of the three gases from soils of restored forests with different ages, indicating their global warming potential, ranged from 82.33 to 674.92 mg CO₂ m⁻² h⁻¹, and CO₂ was the most dominant in the total flux in all mangrove forests. Therefore, total CO₂-equivalent fluxes also increased with the development of restored forests.

Soils were also sampled after the sampling of greenhouse gases. Soil parameters of Eh, water content, pH, ammonia nitrogen, nitrate nitrogen, organic carbon, total nitrogen, total phosphorus and ratio of carbon and nitrogen were analyzed. All soil parameters showed significant differences among sampling seasons, while soil total nitrogen was an exception. Significant variations of soil parameters among restored forests with different ages were found though different soil parameters showed different variation patterns. Soil ammonia nitrogen contents firstly decreased after the replanting of vegetation and then increased with the later development of vegetation, like the variation of N₂O fluxes. The value of soil pH decreased with the development of vegetation, while soil organic carbon content, total nitrogen content and ratio of carbon and nitrogen increased with the development of vegetation. Furthermore, fluxes of N₂O among restored forests with different ages were significantly correlated with the contents of soil ammonia nitrogen, while fluxes of CH₄ and CO₂ were significantly correlated with the contents of soil organic carbon and total nitrogen.

As a summary, with the development of restored mangrove forests, soil parameters varied and those soil parameters were vital factors that caused the variations of greenhouse gas fluxes from mangrove soils.

Key Words: Estuary; Mangrove forests; Greenhouse gas fluxes; Restoration

表索引

表 2-1 大潮日滩位和时间对红树林土壤温室气体通量影响的双因素方差分析	20
表 2-2 小潮日滩位和时间对红树林土壤温室气体通量影响的双因素方差分析	22
表 2-3 大潮日和小潮日土壤温室气体通量配对 t 检验	22
表 2-4 大潮日和小潮日滩位和时间对红树林土壤无机氮含量以及 Eh 影响的双因素方差分析	28
表 2-5 大潮日和小潮日红树林土壤温室气体通量与土壤指标相关性分析	29
表 3-1 各植被恢复时间秋茄红树林样地群落参数	33
表 3-2 季节和植被恢复时间对红树林土壤温室气体通量影响的双因素方差分析	36
表 3-3 红树林土壤温室气体年均通量的 CO ₂ 当量通量	41
表 3-4 不同地区红树林土壤 N ₂ O、CH ₄ 和 CO ₂ 通量	43
表 3-5 九龙江口不同植被恢复时间秋茄红树林生态系统净 C 总量估算	45
表 4-1 季节和植被恢复时间对土壤 Eh、含水率以及 pH 影响的双因素方差分析	51
表 4-2 季节和植被恢复时间对土壤无机氮含量影响的双因素方差分析	53
表 4-3 季节和植被恢复时间对土壤有机碳、总氮和总磷含量以及碳氮比影响的双因素方差分析	56
表 4-4 不同植被恢复时间秋茄红树林土壤温室气体通量与土壤理化性质相关性分析	57

Table index

Table 2-1 Two-way ANOVA for effects of spatial site (S) and time (T) on atmospheric greenhouse gas fluxes from mangrove soils on a spring day.....	20
Table 2-2 Two-way ANOVA for effects of spatial site (S) and time (T) on atmospheric greenhouse gas fluxes from mangrove soils on a neap day	22
Table 2-3 Paired sample t tests on atmospheric greenhouse gas fluxes from mangrove soils on the spring and neap day	22
Table 2-4 Two-way ANOVA for effects of spatial site (S) and time (T) on soil NO_3^- -N, NH_4^+ -N contents and Eh on the spring and neap days.....	28
Table 2-5 Pearson correlations between greenhouse gas fluxes and environmental parameters in mangrove soilson the spring and neap days	29
Table 3-2 Two-way ANOVA for effects of season (S) and restored age of mangrove forest (A) on soil fluxes of greenhouse gases	36
Table 3-3 Annual mean CO_2 -equivalent fluxes of the three greenhouse gases from soils of mangrove forests with different ages	41
Table 3-1 Community parameters of <i>Kandelia obovata</i> mangrove forests with different ages	33
Table 3-4 Soil fluxes of N_2O , CH_4 and CO_2 from different mangroves forests	43
Table 3-5 Estimation of net C fluxes of mangrove forests with different ages in Jiulong River estuary	45
Table 4-1 Two-way ANOVA for effects of season (S) and restored age of mangrove forest (A) on soil Eh, water content (WC) and pH value.....	51
Table 4-2 Two-way ANOVA for effects of season (S) and restored age of mangrove forest (A) on soil NO_3^- -N and NH_4^+ -N contents	53
Table 4-3 Two-way ANOVA for effects of season (S) and restored age of mangrove forest (A) on soil OC, TN and TP contents and C/N	56
Table 4-4 Pearson correlations between greenhouse gas fluxes and soil parameters of mangrove forests with different ages	57

图索引

图 2-1 大潮日土壤 N_2O 、 CH_4 和 CO_2 通量的滩位变化和日变化	19
图 2-2 小潮日土壤 N_2O 、 CH_4 和 CO_2 通量的滩位变化和日变化	21
图 2-3 大潮日和小潮日土壤硝态氮含量的滩位变化和日变化	23
图 2-4 大潮日和小潮日土壤氨态氮含量的滩位变化和日变化	24
图 2-5 大潮日和小潮日土壤 Eh 的滩位变化和日变化	25
图 2-6 大潮日和小潮日气温的滩位变化和日变化	26
图 2-7 大潮日和小潮日土温的滩位变化和日变化	27
图 3-1 本研究中九龙江口红树林样地	33
图 3-2 不同植被恢复时间红树林土壤 N_2O 通量	35
图 3-3 不同植被恢复时间红树林土壤年均 N_2O 通量	36
图 3-4 不同植被恢复时间红树林土壤 CH_4 通量	37
图 3-5 不同植被恢复时间红树林土壤年均 CH_4 通量	38
图 3-6 不同被恢复时间红树林土壤 CO_2 通量	39
图 3-7 不同植被恢复时间红树林土壤年均 CO_2 通量	40
图 3-8 不同植被恢复时间红树林土壤温室气体通量的 CO_2 当量通量	41
图 4-1 不同植被恢复时间红树林土壤 Eh	50
图 4-2 不同植被恢复时间红树林土壤含水率	50
图 4-3 不同植被恢复时间红树林土壤 pH	51
图 4-4 不同植被恢复时间红树林土壤硝态氮含量	52
图 4-5 不同植被恢复时间红树林土壤氨态氮含量	53
图 4-6 不同植被恢复时间红树林土壤有机碳含量	54
图 4-7 不同植被恢复时间红树林土壤总氮含量	55
图 4-8 不同植被恢复时间红树林土壤总磷含量	55
图 4-9 不同植被恢复时间红树林土壤碳氮比	56

Degree papers are in the "[Xiamen University Electronic Theses and Dissertations Database](#)". Full texts are available in the following ways:

1. If your library is a CALIS member libraries, please log on <http://etd.calis.edu.cn/> and submit requests online, or consult the interlibrary loan department in your library.
2. For users of non-CALIS member libraries, please mail to etd@xmu.edu.cn for delivery details.

厦门大学博硕士论文摘要库